



VOLUNTEER TO TAKE MINUTES. PP

PRESENT: Sandy Hellig, Eleanor Chance, Marie Mills, Jane Osborne, Rolando Medina, Richard Andrews, Nina Turner, Angie Titchen, Posy Parrinder, Joan Stonham, Chris Potts, Margaret Keys, Nicky Chambers, Charles Mathew, Sue Chapman

APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE: Paddy Coulter, Jon Bright

DECLARATIONS OF ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: EC – Chair of Eynsham Society, in GreenTea

MINUTES OF THE MEETINGS OF 14 February 2017- Approved with minor change.

MATTERS ARISING: MM and AT have an article in preparation for the May Eynsham News. Local Green Spaces and some conflicting planning applications- still no decisions and we do not yet have a date for them to be considered by the WODC Lowlands Planning Committee. OCC have objected to the plans for 52 homes east of Pinkhill Lane saying they do not think the access is capable of being made up to sufficient standard to be adopted as public highway.

CORRESPONDENCE: Hugh Coddington, OCC Archaeologist- Noted response re LGS 7. Nigel Pearce at City Farm – his paper on the Garden Village site. The meeting agreed that he made some good points. PP will reply to him and encourage him to ensure his paper is sent to WODC for the attention of the Inspector.

ENP SUBMITTED TO WODC

RA reported that the plan had been submitted to WODC and that Astrid Harvey was checking all the documents. She insists on

1. Screening to see if it needs a Strategic Environmental Assessment even though ENP does not make any site allocations as WODC is doing this and RA has already been advised by the relevant Statutory Consultees that one is not required. Astrid is arranging the screening and RA thinks WODC will pay for this. She promises a decision by the first week in April. The actual SEA if required may be at EPC expense.
2. She wants the ENP to undergo a “dry run” examination by a retired Planning Inspector. She is getting 3 quotes. RA thinks EPC may be expected to foot the bill and they do not welcome the delay it would entail. It could make ENP more sound and so carry more weight when considered during the WODC Local Plan Inspector Hearings. These resume on 9 May- Stage 2- and 11 July- Stage 3.

Discussion ensued on the acceptable/likely timing before WODC get the ENP out for its 6-week public consultation. They have so far not responded to several EPC approaches at various officer and member levels.

There was further discussion of the likely line the Planning Inspector may take on the WOLP2031. It was agreed it was very hard to predict in relation to the Eynsham Strategic Development Areas even though the Garden Village plan has many flaws.

EFSG ROLE- After discussion the meeting decided that EFSG had achieved its objective in producing the draft plan. We are proud of this achievement but are confident now that EPC are well placed to negotiate the plan through the next stages without further EFSG assistance. By unanimous vote it was decided to disband EFSG. All members agreed to be available if needed to support for example with evidence at Independent Examination.

Possible press release to announce that ENP is with WODC and EFSG disbanding – Reasons and hopes/plans for the future of development in Eynsham- Members will reflect and email suggestions to PP.

AOB

AT requested that the responses of residents be made easily accessible at Eynsham Online rather than embedded in the key documents via links. RA and JS will action.

NEXT MEETING

Future meetings will be cancelled.